



OPENCHAIN

06-18-2018

OpenChain Workgroup - The Linux Foundation

All materials are made available under the Creative Commons CC0 1.0 Universal license.

Antitrust Policy Notice

- › Linux Foundation meetings involve participation by industry competitors, and it is the intention of the Linux Foundation to conduct all of its activities in accordance with applicable antitrust and competition laws. It is therefore extremely important that attendees adhere to meeting agendas, and be aware of, and not participate in, any activities that are prohibited under applicable US state, federal or foreign antitrust and competition laws.
- › Examples of types of actions that are prohibited at Linux Foundation meetings and in connection with Linux Foundation activities are described in the Linux Foundation Antitrust Policy available at <http://www.linuxfoundation.org/antitrust-policy>. If you have questions about these matters, please contact your company counsel, or if you are a member of the Linux Foundation, feel free to contact Andrew Updegrave of the firm of Gesmer Updegrave LLP, which provides legal counsel to the Linux Foundation.

Agenda

- Roll call
- Project Update
- Specification Working Group

Project Update

- OpenChain Case Studies - new automotive releases
- OpenChain Partner Program - two new partners
- OpenChain @ Events - FOSS Backstage and compliance seminar in Germany
- OpenChain Japan Work Group - ad hoc meeting in Tokyo, full meeting Nagoya
- OpenChain website - revised to make it easier to find material

Specification Working Group

Training Objective Proposal Summaries:

Position 1: All those that impacted a release should be trained

Position 2: Identified the key roles in your organization and train accordingly

Position 3: The spec would not suggest what topics to cover but instead leave it to the organization seeking conformance.

Specification Working Group

Training Objective

Position 1: Training is important for those who could include opens source, makes decisions about the use of open source or review the supplied software with regard to open source should have a basic understanding of their organization's FOSS policy, supporting processes, basic open source licensing and who is responsible for what.

Specification Working Group

Training Objective

Position 2: Identified the key roles in your organization that organize others and are responsible for the outcome. Further we propose to identify a management stakeholder. All these key roles are informed and trained specifically for their position and responsibility. They require materials to guide others and to define the general policy on handling open source. We would consider a set of training guidelines for different positions.

Specification Working Group

Training Objective

Position 3: Consider an approach to producing compliant OSS that are flexible and not necessarily tied to mandated list of training topics. The guiding directive would be: Reasonable practices can be implemented to help ensure that OSS software is developed and distributed in compliance with the relevant associated OSS licenses. This can be achieved, for example, via training, policies, and/or systems as appropriate for the organization. The spec would not suggest what topics to cover but instead leave it to the organization seeking conformance.

Onboarding Team

- Path to Conformance
- One page handouts:
 - (1) product management
 - (2) IP teams
 - (3) developers, and
 - (4) sales teams



Thoughts?

Questions?